Towards an agreed crossgovernment services and information architecture

Working Document, April 2003

Contents

- Conceptual and logical views of crossgovernment technical architecture
- Intercept between centrally provided components (Office of the eEnvoy, OeE) and department provided
- XML to backend integration
- Front-end standards (eg. definition of requirements for consumption by the likes of the Online Government Store)

Background

To work towards an overall crossgovernment architecture that supports intermediaries, back office interoperability, and smart use of common services and components

Vision

For the Citizen: a simple, easy interaction with government services through their channel of choice

For Government: to provide a step-change in the delivery and perception of integrated government services, with commensurate cost-savings



Business context - shifting the value-chain

Front Office Channels

Back Office



High Level Overview – Conceptual Cross-Government Architecture



Conceptual e-Government Architecture Overview

User Experience													
	Intermediaries (eg NACAB, Banks, Employers)	Government Portals (central, Local)	Commercial Portals (eg. Yahoo, MSN)	PC Applications (eg. Money, TaxSaver)	Portable Devices (eg phones, PDAs)	UKOnline Portal	iDTV	Kiosks Libraries etc	5,				٨
Public Interfaces										Meta	Sec	Data In	lanage
Web Services										adata Fram	urity Frame	iteroperabil	ment and C
Common Services										ework	ework	ity (XML	Operatio
A & A Tran	sactions Secure 2-way comms	estration Rules	Online SMS Search He	elpdesk & MIS	Forms Circur s (Store & Engine) Persor	mstances & Payme nalisation	ents UDD	I Address	Appointments			.)	ns
Govt System Interfaces Web Services													
Integration													
XML to proprietary integration													
Provide	ers												
CentralRelatedPrivaGovernment,Organisations (eg(eg. UpmLocal Authorities,Pensions Industry)Maple				Private (eg. Upmys MapPoi	Sector treet.cc int.Net)	om,							

e-Government Conceptual Architecture Use Illustration



Key Issues

- For the front-end delivery to work, Departments and the OeE need to agree standards (format and payloads) for web services exposed by departments both for specific content (OGS etc) and services (Gateway transaction)
- Departments then need to expose defined web service interfaces necessary to deliver the online content and services
- XML to existing system integration issues need to be resolved within the various departments to ensure consistency of delivery (and hence integration)
- Orchestration of processes both at the OeE level (cross-government orchestration of processes) and department level (cross-system) need to be defined and delivered for each specific new online service
- Agreement is needed as to where in the virtual architecture various components sit and whether they are centralised or federated – including the intercept between OeE components and departmental components

Web Services Standards

- Gateway will act as a web services broker, ensuring all web service calls can be made through the same common architecture and endpoints as message-based transactions
- Some common standards for web service delivery need to be defined (including eg. naming conventions, error handling responses and so on)
- The schema, meta content etc of the actual SOAP methods and calls needs to be defined for the interaction of content and services, with particular reference to the intermediary model

Web Services Delivery

- OeE and Departmental Services need to define the roadmap of web services that need to be delivered in order to support the defined content and services (pensions, benefits, etc)
- they then need to be delivered

XML to Proprietary Integration

Three principal elements:

- custom adaptors (specific integration tools that map between eg. Mainframe and XML) and then expose as web services
- web services interfaces hosted on the existing proprietary platform and exposing data and methods through native XML/SOAP
- associated process logic to ensure data and application integrity

XML to Proprietary Integration



XML integration

	Interface With Legacy Application							
	Legacy Platform Proprietary API	Standards- based API	XML	WS				
Legacy Platform No Longer Viable	Migrate existing code to modern platform and expose capabilities as a web-services; use screen scrape approach; or scrap the application and build a completely new one.							
Legacy Platform Is Viable	Write application that simply calls API and maps its functions & parameters to web-service methods and properties	Write application or utilise integration tool that produces web-services	Write application or utilise integration tool that produces web-services	Use the web- service directly or via COTS packages that add functionality.				

Legacy to open roadmap



Data and Application Orchestration

- Joined-up and multi-step interactions on franchise and intermediary delivery need orchestration to:
 - ensure data and application integrity across existing backend systems
 - ensure complex, multi-party transactions possess data and application integrity
- Some of this is logically performed at the Common Services tier ("middle office"), for processes that span more than one back-end entity
- Local orchestration within and across specific backend systems may also be needed in the back-office tier to ensure application-specific data integrity

Data and Application Orchestration – Cross Government



Data and Application Orchestration – Departmental



Central / Department Architectures Intercept – potential conceptualisation



Logical to Physical – Option 1 (centralised model)



Logical to Physical – Option 2 (federated model)



DWP Illustrative

e-Government Layered Architecture – DWP View







Web Service Approaches

Current



Proposed



Online Government Store (OGS) Models



Web Service requirements

OGS

- payload content (informational/text)

- franchise segmented markup (eg. parents)

- validity/timestamp
- associated online services



- payload content (informational/text)
- service segmented
- transaction content (sync and async)

Intermediary Portal

- payload content (informational/text)
- franchise segmented
- service segmented
- transaction content (sync and async)
- validity/timestamp







Web services broker

Security

Living Examples

- Government GatewaySandvik Tooling
- US Navy

Government Gateway – Web Services as standard interface



Sandvik Framework

An application, a program or a person





US Navy Case Study - US Navy Reserve Mobilization

Problem:

- multiple manual entries of data, partially manual processes, and inconsistent processes across different organizations at different sites led to data inconsistency & errors
- an important legacy system did not meet new security and inter-operability requirements (NMCI)
- no "visibility" in status of processes
- Solution:
 - rapidly develop an application that connects processes across different organizations & make information available as web services
 - rebuild a legacy application in new technology (ASP.NET)
 - provide status reporting/tracking
 - "expose" information as a web services whenever there was probability that other applications could use the information